Case: 4:18-cr-00931-JAR Doc. #: 27 Filed: 02/27/19 Page: 1 of 3 PageID #: 42

## United States District Court

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

United States of America	)		
v. RONESHA MCGEE	)	Case No.	4:18CR931JAR(NCC)
Defendant			

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

- ✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
- ☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

## Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable
presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
and the community because the following conditions have been met:
$\square$ (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
$\square$ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
$\Box$ (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
$\Box$ (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; <b>or</b>
$\square$ (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
☐ (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>
☐ (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>
$\Box$ (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

Case: 4:18-cr-00931-JAR Doc. #: 27 Filed: 02/27/19 Page: 2 of 3 PageID #: 43

AO 472	(Rev. 09/16	Order of	Detention	Pending	Trial

<b>I</b> B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the
defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant
committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
$\Box$ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(2) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years
or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of
imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; <b>or</b>
☐ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245,
2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4),
2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
<b>☑</b> C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.
O.D.
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention
hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
<i>2</i> ,
☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the safety of any other person and the community.
the streety of they other person that the community.
☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse
☐ Lack of stable employment
☐ Lack of stable residence
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties
☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

Case: 4:18-cr-00931-JAR Doc. #: 27 Filed: 02/27/19 Page: 3 of 3 PageID #: 44 AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial ☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States ☐ Lack of legal status in the United States ☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration ☐ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered ☐ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement ☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents ☐ Background information unknown or unverified Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION: Except for clarifications made on the record at the detention hearing, if any, the parties did not dispute the facts contained in the Pretrial Services Report; as such, those facts form part of the basis for detention. The United States proffered evidence that McGee's charges arose following an October 2018 attempt by police to stop a vehicle observed traveling at a high rate of speed. While attempting to evade police, the vehicle ultimately crashed into a curb and became disabled. Defendant, who was apparently a passenger in the car, attempted to flee but was apprehended. Officers found several hundred capsules of suspected heroin in the car as well as .9mm and .40 caliber pistols on the passenger side of the car. At the time of her arrest, McGee was on probation for three counts of assualt related to a driveby shooting to which she pled guilty. At the hearing, defense counsel requested, and was granted, time to research the circumstances of McGee's prior conviction and sentence to determine if there were extenuating circumstances. However, on February 26, 2019, counsel contacted chambers and indicated he had no further evidence to offer.

Although defendant is young, has strong family ties, has an otherwise limited criminal history, and was employed at the time of her arrest, these facts are not sufficient to rebut the presumption of detention when the nature of the present charges coupled with the violent nature of her prior conviction and her status as a supervised probationee are also taken into account. Even if the mitigating facts outlined by defense counsel did rebut the presumption, detention would still be warranted. After carefully considering the nature of the charges, the presence of the rebuttable presumption, and all of the evidence of record, I find the United States has established by clear and convincing evidence that there are no conditions that would reasonably assure the safety of the community if defendant were released.

## **Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention**

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	02/27/2019	Shirley P. Mensah	
		United States Magistrate Judge	